

Humboldt County Pesticide Enforcement Program Statistics

	2008	2009	2010
Operator identification numbers issued/amended ¹	41	25	38
Restricted material permits issued/amended ¹	23	19	17
Permits denied ¹	0	0	0
Multi-year permits ¹	0	0	0
Total permitted sites ^{1, 2}	0	0	0
Notices of intent (NOIs) reviewed ¹	46	27	34
NOIs assessed (Pre-application site inspections) ¹	12	3	11
NOIs denied ¹	0	1	1
Pounds of pesticide applied (active ingredient) ³	61,943	28,567	31,021
Number of agricultural applications ³	2,259	1,922	2,233
Number of non-agricultural applications ^{3,4}	28,882	29,348	40,756
Number of all inspections ¹	21	6	18
Unclaimed Gas Tax Distribution ^{5,6}	\$11,643	\$6,896	\$1,149
Pesticide Mill Assessment ⁵	\$61,200	\$62,424	\$64,200

Footnotes

¹ Source: Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report (PRAMR)

² Some counties did not report any permitted sites.

³ Source: Department of Pesticide Regulation Pesticide Use Report Database


⁴ Analysis of data indicates some problems with reporting and accurately recording these figures.

⁵ Funding is on a fiscal year basis and is based on the prior year's workload.


⁶ Funding is on a fiscal year basis. This amount represents 36 percent of the full amount distributed by CDFA for all 10 agricultural programs (FAC 2282) and pesticide use enforcement. The 36 percent represents the typical amount dedicated to pesticide use enforcement.

Humboldt County Pesticide Regulatory Activities Monthly Report Calendar Year Summary

**Percentage of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category
During Calendar Years 2008, 2009, and 2010**



**Number of Licensed Work Hours Reported By Category
For Calendar Years 2008, 2009, and 2010**



Humboldt County Inspection Compliance

Inspection Type	Calendar Year 2008							Calendar Year 2009							Calendar Year 2010						
	Number of Inspections	Criteria Compliance				Inspections With a Violation		Number of Inspections	Criteria Compliance				Inspections With a Violation		Number of Inspections	Criteria Compliance				Inspections With a Violation	
		Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate				Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate				Items That Did Comply	Items That Did Not Comply	Total Items	Rate		
Agricultural																					
Field Worker Safety	0							0							0						
Pesticide Use - Application	0							0							2	39	1	40	97.5%	1	50.0%
Pesticide Use - Mix Load	0							0							0						
Commodity Fume	0							0							0						
Field Fumigation	1	21		21	100.0%		100.0%	0							1	29		29	100.0%		100.0%
Records	0							0							4	44		44	100.0%		100.0%
Totals:	1	21		21	100.0%		100.0%	0							7	112	1	113	99.1%	1	85.7%
Structural																					
Fumigation	1	24		24	100.0%		100.0%	0							1	29	2	31	93.5%	1	0.0%
Non-Fumigation Application	0							0							0						
Non-Fumigation Mix Load	0							0							0						
Records	0							0							2	16		16	100.0%		100.0%
Totals:	1	24		24	100.0%		100.0%	0							3	45	2	47	95.7%	1	66.7%
Overall Totals:	2	45		45	100.0%		100.0%								10	157	3	160	98.1%	2	80.0%

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Prepared 7/22/2011

Humboldt County Closed Compliance Actions and Closed Enforcement Actions

	2008		2009		2010	
	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers	Hours	Numbers
VIII. Compliance Actions						
A. Warning Letters / Violation Notices		0		1		44
B. Cease and Desist Orders		1		0		2
C. Documented Compliance Interviews		0		0		4
Total:	3	1	9	1	90	50
IX. Enforcement Actions						
A. Administrative Action:						
1. Restricted Materials Permit		0		0		0
2. Private Applicator Certifications		0		0		0
3. County Registrations		0		0		0
4. Structural Civil Penalties		0		0		0
5. Agricultural Civil Penalties		0		0		1
B. Judicial Actions:						
1. Notice to Appear (Citations)		0		0		0
2. Cases Submitted to DA		0		0		0
3. Civil Complaints Filed		0		0		0
4. Criminal Complaints Filed		0		0		0
C. Referrals to DPR		0		0		0
Total:	0	0	1	0	27	1

Note: Compliance and Enforcement actions are not necessarily taken in the same fiscal year when the violation occurs. Additionally, some actions may be due to discovery of violations by means other than inspections such as through investigations.

Most Common Agricultural Inspection Violations

Elements Evaluated	2008 Criteria Evaluated			2009 Criteria Evaluated			2010 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2008 - 2010 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
Containers Secured / Attended	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	3	1	4	75.00

Most Common Structural Inspection Violations

Elements Evaluated	2008 Criteria Evaluated			2009 Criteria Evaluated			2010 Criteria Evaluated			Overall 2008 - 2010 Criteria Evaluated			
	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Met	Not Met	Total	Percent Compliance
Follows Labeling and/or Permit Conditions	3	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	3	5	1	6	83.33
Emergency Medical Care, Posting	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	50.00

Inspection numbers only include completed non-oversight inspections to DPR.

Values for some criteria can not be compared year to year due to revisions to the criteria and conversion to a new inspection program in 2010.